1			
2	Virgil A. Iler, S.B. # 158460		
3	Brooks L. Iler, S.B.# 99020 ILER & ILER, LLP		
4	16516 Bernardo Center Drive, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92128		
5	Tel (858) 592-6212 Fax (858) 592-6213		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, LIZBETH VALDEZ		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		CASE NO. '16CV2613W BGS	
12	LIZBETH VALDEZ,	COMPLAINT FOR DISABILITY	
13	Plaintiff,	BENEFITS UNDER ERISA	
14	VS.	29 U.S. Code Section 1132(a)(1)(B)	
15))	
16	AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1,		
17			
18	Defendant.		
19			
20			
21	Plaintiff LIZBETH VALDEZ alleges as follows:		
22	1. At all times relevant herein, Plaintiff, LIZBETH VALDEZ, was an		
23	individual residing in San Diego County, California.		
2425	2. On or about April 2016, plaintiff became employed by Pacific Bell		
	Telephone Company as a sales consultant.		
2627	3. Defendant, AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1 (the Plan),		
28	was the group disability insurance plan sponsored by AT&T, INCORPORATED		
20	· -	4	
	1 COMPLAINT FOR DISABITY BENEFITS UNDER ERISA		

administered by Sedgwick, in which Pacific Bell Telephone Company was a participating member of the Plan.

- 4. The employer-sponsored group disability plan and the claim at issue in this action are governed by the *Employee Retirement Income Security Act of* 1974 (ERISA), 29 U.S. Code Section 1001. et. seq.
- 5. On or about October 20, 2015 plaintiff became disabled within the definition of the disability benefit plan, and soon thereafter, and in a timely fashion, he made a claim for benefits under said plan; plaintiff received short term disability benefits under the plan for a limited time until his benefits were cutoff; plaintiff remains disabled as defined by the plan to this date, and continues to be eligible for both short term and long term disability benefits under the plan.
- 6. On or about April 25, 2016, plaintiff's claim for on-going benefits was denied effective April 18, 2016.
- 7. Plaintiff made a timely administrative appeal of the denial; the administrative appeal was denied in a letter dated July 28, 2016 thereby exhausting the Plan's administrative appeal process.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment from the Court as follows:

- 1. Declaring that plaintiff is covered for past and ongoing disability benefits under the Plan:
- 2. For past-due benefits in the amount of approximately \$2,240 per month less appropriate offsets through the date of filing, and additional benefits accumulating at the same monthly rate;
 - 3. For interest on past due benefits;
 - 4. For reasonable attorney's fees;
 - 5. For costs of suit herein;
 - 6. For such other relief as the court deems proper.

COMPLAINT FOR DISABITY BENEFITS UNDER ERISA

1	
2	
3	
4	Dated: <u>10/20/16</u> ILER & ILER, LLP
5	
6	By: <u>s/Virgil A. Iler</u> Virgil A. Iler
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff,
8	LIZBETH VALDEZ
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3
	COMPLAINT FOR DISABITY BENEFITS UNDER ERISA
I	ı